

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/618,940	07/15/2003	Sylvester Roebuck JR.	LOA512	6661
75	590 09/21/2004		EXAMINER	
Sean A. Kaufhold			MOY, JOSEPH MAN	
P.O. Box 13144 Carlsbad, CA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
carisoaa, cri)2015		3727	
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>N</i> .					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Th					
	10/618,940	ROEBUCK ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	- \					
	Joseph Moy	3727						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	is					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC oute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this commu BBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
•	nis action is non-final.							
,								
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	-							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a light in a light in the light	Application No n received in this National Stag	ge					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)					

Serial Number: 10/618940

Art Unit: 3727

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed

publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in

public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the

United States.

Claims 1,3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by

Dumenigo. Dumenigo shows all the structure of the device as recited by the claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for

all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set

forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Dumenigo in view of Walton et al. Dumenigo shows all the structures of the device as

recited by the claims except the hinge lid. It would have been obvious to provide the

container of Dumenigo with a lid as taught by Walton et al to protect the content.

Any inquiry concerning this office action will be directed to Examiner Joseph

Moy, (703) 308-1145.

Primary Examiner

Date: 05/08/2004